**15-035**

**1. State Building Code to be Amended:**

 🗷 International Building Code [ ]  State Energy Code

 [ ]  ICC ANSI A117.1 Accessibility Code [ ]  International Mechanical Code

 [ ]  International Existing Building Code [ ]  International Fuel Gas Code

 [ ]  International Residential Code [ ]  NFPA 54 National Fuel Gas Code

 [ ]  International Fire Code [ ]  NFPA 58 Liquefied Petroleum Gas Code

 [ ]  Uniform Plumbing Code [ ]  Wildland Urban Interface Code

 **Section(s): 306.2**

 **Title: Moderate hazard factory industrial, Group F-1**

**2. Proponent Name (Specific local government, organization or individual):**

 **Proponent: Washington Association of Building Officials**

 **Title:**

 **Date: February 25, 2015**

**3. Designated Contact Person:**

 **Name: Maureen Traxler**

 **Title: Code Development Manager**

 **Address: 700 5th Ave, #2000; PO 34019**

 **Seattle, WA 98124-4019**

 **Office Phone: 206-233-3892**

 **Cell:**

 **E-Mail address: maureen.traxler@seattle.gov**

**4. Proposed Code Amendment**.

 **Code(s)** IBC **Section(s)** 306.2

 Amend section to read as follows:

**306.2 Moderate-hazard factory industrial, Group F-1.** Factory industrial uses that are not classified as Factory Industrial F-2 Low Hazard shall be classified as F-1 Moderate Hazard and shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

Aircraft (manufacturing, not to include repair)

Appliances

Athletic equipment

Automobiles and other motor vehicles

Bakeries

Beverages: over 16-percent alcohol content

Bicycles

Boats

Brooms or brushes

Business machines

Cameras and photo equipment

Canvas or similar fabric

Carpets and rugs (includes cleaning)

Clothing

Construction and agricultural machinery

Disinfectants

Dry cleaning and dyeing

Electric generation plants

Electronics

Engines (including rebuilding)

Food processing establishments and commercial kitchens not associated with restaurants, cafeterias and similar dining facilities more than 2,500 square feet (232 m2) in area.

Furniture

Hemp products

Jute products

Laundries

Leather products

Machinery

Marijuana growing of more than 15 plants

Marijuana processing

Metals

Millwork (sash and door)

Motion pictures and television filming (without spectators)

Musical instruments

Optical goods

Paper mills or products

Photographic film

Plastic products

Printing or publishing

Recreational vehicles

Refuse incineration

Shoes

Soaps and detergents

Textiles

Tobacco

Trailers

Upholstering

Wood; distillation

Woodworking (cabinet)

1. **Briefly explain your proposed amendment, including the purpose, benefits and problems addressed.** Specifically note any impacts or benefits to business, and specify construction types, industries and services that would be affected. Finally, please note any potential impact on enforcement such as special reporting requirements or additional inspections required.

This proposal specifies an occupancy classification for marijuana growing and processing facilities for consistency throughout the state. Group F-1 was chosen over Group U because marijuana growing and processing involve more complex ventilation, electrical and plumbing equipment than a typical greenhouse. Processing often involves hazardous materials, which is not typical of Group U. Note that this occupancy classification is often applied in Colorado as well as many Washington jurisdictions.

This proposal specifies more than 15 plants because the state medical marijuana law allows possession of 15 plants for personal medical use. The intent is that 15 or fewer plants would be considered as similar to a private garden or greenhouse.

1. **Specify what criteria this proposal meets.** You may select more than one.

[ ]  The amendment is needed to address a critical life/safety need.

🗷 The amendment is needed to address a specific state policy or statute.

🗷 The amendment is needed for consistency with state or federal regulations.

[ ]  The amendment is needed to address a unique character of the state.

[ ]  The amendment corrects errors and omissions.

1. **Is there an economic impact:** [ ]  Yes     🗷 No

Explain:

This proposal clarifies how existing code provisions apply to marijuana operations. Many jurisdictions in Washington and Colorado currently apply the code in this way.

If there is an economic impact, use the Table below to estimate the costs and savings of the proposal on construction practices, users and/or the public, the enforcement community, and operation and maintenance. If preferred, you may submit an alternate cost benefit analysis.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Building Type | Construction[[1]](#footnote-1) | Enforcement[[2]](#footnote-2) | Operations & Maintenance[[3]](#footnote-3) |
| Costs | Benefits[[4]](#footnote-4) | Costs | Benefits4 | Costs | Benefits4 |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Single family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  Multi-family |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial/Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Institutional |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Please send your completed proposal to: sbcc@ga.wa.gov

All questions must be answered to be considered complete. Incomplete proposals will not be accepted.

1. $ / square foot of floor area or other cost. Attach data. **Construction** costs are costs prior to occupancy, and include both design and direct construction costs

that impact the total cost of the construction to the owner/consumer. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Cost per project plan. Attach data. **Enforcement** costs include governmental review of plans, field inspection, and other action required for enforcement. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Cost to building owner/tenants over the life of the project. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. Measurable benefit. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)